

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/616,330

Applicant(s)

STANTON ET AL.

Examiner

Greg Bengzon

Art Unit

2144

## All Participants:

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mary Jo Bertani, Reg. NO. 42321.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 36

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.


### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Upon authorization from SPE Vaughn, Examiner Bengzon contacted applicant representative to discuss Examiner Amendment for Claim 36 to reflect claim dependency on Claim 22 instead of cancelled Claim 35.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
WILLIAM VAUGHN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
(Examiner/Supervisor) CENTER 2100

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

